National Research Journal of Human Resource Management
Volume No: 13, Issue No: 2, Year: 2026 (July-December)
PP: 262-266
ISSN: 2394-059X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.25)
Journal Website: www.nrjhrm.in

BRIDGING THE GENERATIONAL CHASM: A MULTI-GENERATIONAL WORK CULTURE FRAMEWORK FOR PUBLIC VS PRIVATE INDIAN BANKS

Priyanka Dhiman

Research Scholar,
Maharaja Agrasen University, Baddi, H.P.

Assistant Professor
Goswami Ganesh Dutta Sanatan Dharma College, Chandigarh

Pardeep Singh Walia

Prof., Vice Chancellor
Maharaja Agrasen University, Baddi, H.P.

ABSTRACT

The contemporary Indian banking sector faces a profound demographic and structural transformation represented by the presence of three distinct generational cohorts: Generation X, Generation Y (Millennials), and Generation Z. This conceptual paper establishes an integrative theoretical framework examining how the generational cohort membership interacts with sector-specific workplace cultures, especially Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs) vs. Private Sector Banks to influence employee work attitudes and job satisfaction. Based on Generational Cohort Theory, the Competing Values Framework, and Social Exchange Theory, this paper describes how contrasting psychological contracts, institutional governance structures, and digital adaptation readiness mediate organizational outcomes. Seven testable propositions are taken into consideration for empirical validation and generation-specific human resource management strategies that can promote generation synergies are suggested.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Indian banking sector acts as the operational spine of the nation's economy. Following post-1991 economic liberalization, rapid financial sector reforms, and recent digital transformations (such as the Unified Payments Interface, artificial intelligence integration and cloud banking), the banking workplace has evolved tremendously. An important feature of this modern workplace is multi-generational workforce diversity, comprising three key cohorts: Generation X (born 1965–1980), Generation Y / Millennials (born 1981–1996), and Generation Z (born 1997 onwards) (Parry & Urwin, 2021).

Managing generational diversity within Indian banking is uniquely very complex due to the institutional contrast between Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs) and Private Sector Banks. Public sector banks are characterized by hierarchical governance, formalized procedures, traditional seniority-based progression, and long-term employment security. On the other hand, private sector banks emphasize performance-driven metrics, market responsiveness, technological agility, and rapid career mobility (Agrawal & Thakur, 2019).

While Western management literature extensively addresses generational differences, Western models often fail to account for the unique socio-cultural realities of the Indian workplace—where traditional cultural dimensions such as collectivism, high power distance, and deference to authority interact with modern corporate demands for autonomy and rapid growth (Rai & Agarwal, 2018). This conceptual paper bridges this knowledge gap by

© Copyright @ Authors

ISSN: 2394-059X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.25)
Journal Website: www.nrjhrm.in

constructing a multi-layered theoretical framework linking generational identity, institutional work culture, and work attitudes to explain job satisfaction among Indian banking professionals belonging to multiple generational cohorts.

2. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

2.1 Generational Cohort Theory

The Generational Cohort Theory states that the individuals who undergo similar experiences of socio-historic nature in their coming-of-age years develop common value systems, work attitudes, and psychological expectations (Parry & Urwin, 2021). Regarding Indian banking:

- Generation X (1965–1980): Generation X employees belong to pre-liberalization and early reform periods and value institutional stability, organizational loyalty, follow hierarchical structure, and long-term tenure (Costanza et al., 2015).
- Generation Y / Millennials (1981–1996): Millennial employees were born in the period of economic growth and initial digital adoption. They prioritized career acceleration, role autonomy, skill development, and work-life balance (Twenge et al., 2020).
- Generation Z (1997–Present): Generation Z are digital natives entering a hyper-digitized banking environment. They look for immediate feedback, continuous learning, technological seamlessness, and organizational purpose (Twenge et al., 2020).

2.2 Competing Values Framework (CVF)

To conceptualize banking sector-specific workplace culture, we employ Cameron and Quinn's Competing Values Framework, which categorizes organizational culture into four parts: Clan, Adhocracy, Market, and Hierarchy:

- Hierarchy & Clan Culture (Dominant in PSUs): Emphasizes stability, structure, standardized processes, and formal rules. Public Sector Banks heavily exhibit hierarchy attributes combined with Clan (mentorship and welfare) orientation.
- Market & Adhocracy Culture (Dominant in Private Banks): Emphasizes speed, competitive goal attainment, market penetration, and innovation. Private Sector Banks primarily manifest Market and Adhocracy cultural traits (Agrawal & Thakur, 2019).

2.3 Social Exchange Theory and Psychological Contracts

According to Social Exchange Theory, organizational commitment & job satisfaction occur because of reciprocity in the obligations between employees and organizations (Rai & Agarwal, 2018). Older generations working in PSU banks work with relational psychological contract based on mutual long-term commitment. On the other hand, the younger generations (Gen Y and Gen Z) working in private banks work with transactional psychological contract in which there is an exchange between performance outcome and fast monetary rewards, learning, and promotion.

3. Conceptual Framework and Testable Propositions

The proposed conceptual model positions Generational Cohort Identity as the independent variable, Sector-Specific Banking Culture (Public vs. Private) as the moderating variable, Work Attitudes (Affective Commitment, Role Autonomy, Tech Adaptation) as mediating variables, and Job Satisfaction as the primary dependent outcome.

© Copyright @ Authors

ISSN: 2394-059X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.25)
Journal Website: www.nrjhrm.in

Generational Cohort	PSU Culture Fit (Clan/Hierarchy)	Private Bank Culture Fit (Market/Adhocracy)	Primary Work Attitude & Outcome
Gen X (1965-1980)	High Alignment (Values stability & security)	Moderate Alignment (High metrics stress)	High Affective Commitment; High Stability Satisfaction
Gen Y / Millennials (1981-1996)	Moderate Alignment (Friction with bureaucratic pace)	High Alignment (Rewards output & rapid growth)	Role Autonomy seeking; Value Growth & Work-Life Balance
Gen Z (1997-Present)	Low Alignment (Friction with manual/legacy systems)	High Alignment (Digital speed & agile environment)	Tech Adaptation driven; Immediate Recognition seeking

3.1 Generational Cohorts and Work Attitudes

Attitudes toward work are the attitudes of the employee psychologically toward his work role. The generational cohorts of Generation X always exhibit greater organizational commitment because of alignment on the basis of tenure. On the other hand, Millennials and Gen Z express higher intention of career mobility when autonomy or growth is hindered.

Proposition 1 (P1): Work attitude is significantly affected by generational cohort identity in that Generation X shows greater organizational commitment whereas Generation Y and Z value role autonomy and learning.

3.2 The Moderating Role of Institutional Bank Culture

The organizational culture influences the relationship of generational values on job satisfaction. In a case where a Gen Z individual works within a rigid structure of a PSU, the resistance would create friction for values that will reduce job satisfaction. On the other hand, the high pressure exerted on a Gen X person working in a private bank could bring occupational stress.

Proposition 2a (P2a): The positive relationship between Generation X identity and job satisfaction is higher in Public Sector Banks than in Private Sector Banks, moderated by the Clan-Hierarchy cultural congruence.

Proposition 2b (P2b): The positive relationship between Generation Y/Z identity and job satisfaction is higher in Private Sector Banks than in Public Sector Banks, moderated by Market-Adhocracy cultural congruence.

3.3 Technological Adaptation as a Key Mediator

Digitalization acts both as an enabler and a stressor among generational cohorts. While Gen Z and Millennials effortlessly adopt digital platforms, older Gen X employees may encounter technological problems if formal up-skilling is absent.

Proposition 3 (P3): Technological adaptation capability mediates the relationship between generational cohort identity and job satisfaction, with Public Sector Banks demonstrating higher variance across generational cohorts than Private Sector Banks.

© Copyright @ Authors

ISSN: 2394-059X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.25)
Journal Website: www.nrjhrm.in

4. STRATEGIC HR INTERVENTIONS FOR INDIAN BANKING

To operationalize this conceptual model, banking leadership must implement tailored structural interventions:

1. Develop reverse mentoring strategies where Gen Z employees are paired up with Gen X managers in Public Sector Banks:. It helps in faster adoption of digital technologies by senior managers while helping Gen Z employees gain domain expertise and risk management skills.
2. Autonomous Innovation Pods within Hierarchies: The public sector banks need to set up innovation pods which will allow Gen Y and Gen Z employees role autonomy without destroying the formal regulation of governance structure (Agrawal & Thakur, 2019).
3. Hybrid Psychological Contracts for Private Banks: The private sector banks need to adopt milestone-based rewards for tenure, development paths for leaders, and work-life integration policies to reduce burnouts among Millennials and Gen Z.

5. FUTURE EMPIRICAL RESEARCH AGENDA

The way forward in the validation and development of this theoretical framework is by applying the following research methodology:

- Quantitative Research Methodology: Application of Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) in order to test the moderated mediation hypothesis in multi-bank samples in India.
- Measurement Instrument: The use of validated measures such as Organizational Culture Assessment Instrument (OCAI), Spector's Job Satisfaction Survey (JSS) and Organizational Commitment Questionnaire (Allen & Meyer).
- Controlling Variables: The controlling of branch location (metro vs. Tier-3 rural branches), gender diversity and employee tenure (Rai & Agarwal, 2018).

REFERENCES

1. Agrawal, S., & Thakur, A. (2019). Generational workforce diversity and its impact on organizational culture: An empirical study of Indian service sector. Journal of Management Development, 38(8), 620–637. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-01-2019-0022
2. Cameron, K. S., & Quinn, R. E. (2011). Diagnosing and changing organizational culture: Based on the competing values framework (3rd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
3. Costanza, D. P., Badger, J. M., Fraser, R. L., Severt, J. B., & Gade, P. A. (2015). Generational differences and work-related outcomes: A meta-analysis. Journal of Business and Psychology, 30(2), 269–291. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-012-9259-4
4. Lyons, S., & Kuron, L. (2014). Generational differences in the workplace: A review of the evidence and directions for future research. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 35(S1), S139–S157. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1913
5. Parry, E., & Urwin, P. (2021). Generational cohorts and age cohorts: Theoretical distinctions and empirical implications for human resource management. Human Resource Management Review, 31(2), 100764. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2020.100764

© Copyright @ Authors

ISSN: 2394-059X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.25)
Journal Website: www.nrjhrm.in

6. Rai, A., & Agarwal, U. A. (2018). Workplace bullying and employee silence: A moderated mediation model of psychological contract breach and generational cohorts. Personnel Review, 47(1), 226–256. https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-03-2017-0071
7. Twenge, J. M., Campbell, S. M., Hoffman, B. J., & Lance, C. E. (2020). Generational increases in individualism and career expectations: Implications for organizational behavior and HR practices. Journal of Applied Psychology, 105(6), 612–628. https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000456

© Copyright @ Authors